

Decision on submission to Environmental Modelling and Software

010f019b0376c74f-6e21e6c7-6813-48f3-8655-7f1eb8de2437-000000@mail.editorialmanager.com 代...

发给 zlj@lreis.ac.cn

2025-12-09 08:14 隐藏信息

发件人:

010f019b0376c74f-6e21e6c7-6813-48f3-8655-7f1eb8de2437-

000000@mail.editorialmanager.com<010f019b0376c74f-6e21e6c7-6813-48f3-8655-7f1eb8de2437-

000000@mail.editorialmanager.com> 代表 em<em@editorialmanager.com>

收件人: zlj@lreis.ac.cn<zlj@lreis.ac.cn>

时间: 2025年12月9日 (周二) 08:14

大小: 14 KB

Manuscript Number: **ENVSOFT-D-25-02328**

A spatially hybrid hydrological modeling approach using subbasin-specific model structures

Dear Dr Zhu,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Environmental Modelling and Software.

I have completed my evaluation of your manuscript. The reviewers recommend reconsideration of your manuscript following major revision. I invite you to resubmit your manuscript after addressing the comments below. Please resubmit your revised manuscript by **Dec 30, 2025**.

When revising your manuscript, please consider all issues mentioned in the reviewers' comments carefully: please outline every change made in response to their comments and provide suitable rebuttals for any comments not addressed. Please note that your revised submission may need to be re-reviewed.

To submit your revised manuscript, please log in as an author at <https://www.editorialmanager.com/envsoft/>, and navigate to the "Submissions Needing Revision" folder.

Research Elements (optional)

This journal encourages you to share research objects - including your raw data, methods, protocols, software, hardware and more – which support your original research article in a Research Elements journal. Research Elements are open access, multidisciplinary, peer-reviewed journals which make the objects associated with your research more discoverable, trustworthy and promote replicability and reproducibility. As open access journals, there may be an Article Publishing Charge if your paper is accepted for publication. Find out more about the Research Elements journals at [https://www.elsevier.com/authors/tools-and-resources/research-elements-journals?](https://www.elsevier.com/authors/tools-and-resources/research-elements-journals?dgcid=ec_em_research_elements_email)

Environmental Modelling and Software values your contribution and I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript.

Kind regards,

%EDITORIAL OFFICE%

Environmental Modelling and Software

Link to the iEMSs 2024 announcements and newsletter <https://conference.iemss.org/>

Editor and Reviewer comments:

Reviewer #1: The authors present a well written manuscript but there are questions that must be answered before the paper may be published. There are also spelling and grammar errors listed after the main questions and comments.

Main Comments/Questions

1. Since your own results demonstrate that regional calibration (without internal data) can degrade mass balance performance (PBIAS), does the success of the Hybrid Model (HybM) rely on error cancellation between the upstream and downstream subbasins? How can you attribute the NSE improvement to the "hybrid structure" rather than simply the increased degrees of freedom allowed by splitting the watershed's parameter sets? This needs to be addressed in the manuscript.
2. What quantitative metric or threshold (e.g., mean slope degree, elevation variance) was used to categorize the subbasins? Without a specific, reproducible definition of "gently sloping," is the decision boundary arbitrary? If a different threshold were used (shifting 2-3 subbasins from one category to the other), how sensitive is the model performance to this structural choice? In the revised manuscript, these questions need to be discussed.
3. You explicitly admit that the current architecture ignores inter-subbasin groundwater flow and assumes exchange only happens via the channel network: how does this assumption impact the validity of your current case study in the Babao River watershed, which is highly mountainous? Aren't you forcing the "physically-based" modules to adopt unrealistic parameter values to compensate for the missing lateral groundwater flow paths?
4. Why is the Hybrid Model considered superior when its PBIAS is substantially higher than the spatially consistent physical model? In hydrological modeling, particularly for water resource management, isn't the preservation of mass balance (low bias) as critical as the NSE? Doesn't this result suggest the Hybrid model is "curve fitting" at the expense of physical mass conservation?
5. Given that frozen soil dynamics are a dominant control on infiltration and runoff in this specific watershed, does omitting this process render the "semi-physically based" modules effectively conceptual? If the physical equations (e.g., Darcy's Law for interflow) are missing the governing variable (frozen ground permeability), can the model truly be classified as "physically based" in this context, or is it a more complex conceptual model?
6. Beyond the setup burden, how does the framework guard against parameter inconsistency? If two adjacent subbasins have similar characteristics but are assigned different structures (or separate configuration files), what prevents a user from assigning conflicting parameter values (e.g., vastly different soil porosity for the same soil type) across the boundary? Does the framework enforce any parameter continuity constraints?

Corrections

- Page 6 line 101: spatially consistent method and spatially varying method -> spatially consistent methods and spatially varying methods
Page 10 line 191: each subbasins -> each subbasin
Page 16 line 297: generate -> generates
Page 16 line 298: convey -> conveys
Page 13 line 233: such the -> such as the
Page 4 line 59: vis -> via
Page 22 line 405: Law and, with the -> Law and with the
Page 26 line 458: assumption that successfully -> assumption that successful
Page 30 line 535: spatial heterogenous -> spatial heterogeneity

Page 36 line 646: remains central challenges in hydrology community -> remain central challenges in the hydrology community

Page 36 line 662: studies in watershed -> studies in watersheds

Reviewer #2: This manuscript demonstrates a new approach that integrates physically-based simulation algorithms with spatially explicit distributed simulation units. The experimental results show improvement through comparison. While the manuscript is well-written, it contains several grammatical errors that require attention prior to publication.

More information and support

FAQ: How do I revise my submission in Editorial Manager?

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/28463/supporthub/publishing/

FAQ: How can I reset a forgotten password?

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/28452/supporthub/publishing/kw/editorial+ma

For further assistance, please visit our customer service site:

<https://service.elsevier.com/app/home/supporthub/publishing/>. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about Editorial Manager via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/7 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email.

At Elsevier, we want to help all our authors to stay safe when publishing. Please be aware of fraudulent messages requesting money in return for the publication of your paper. If you are publishing open access with Elsevier, bear in mind that we will never request payment before the paper has been accepted. We have prepared some guidelines (<https://www.elsevier.com/connect/authors-update/seven-top-tips-on-stopping-apc-scams>) that you may find helpful, including a short video on Identifying fake acceptance letters (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o5l8thD9XtE>). Please remember that you can contact Elsevier's Researcher Support team (<https://service.elsevier.com/app/home/supporthub/publishing/>) at any time if you have questions about your manuscript, and you can log into Editorial Manager to check the status of your manuscript (https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/29155/c/10530/supporthub/publishing/kw/stat

#AU_ENVSOFT#

To ensure this email reaches the intended recipient, please do not delete the above code

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. ([Remove my information/details](#)). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.