

HYDROL48272R1 Editor decision - revise

em.hydrol.0.80f1cb.5c69aa21 代表 Journal of Hydrology

发给 朱良君

2023-01-26 08:17 隐藏信息

发件人:

em.hydrol.0.80f1cb.5c69aa21 <em.hydrol.0.80f1cb.5c69aa21@editorialmanager.com> 代表
Journal of Hydrology <em@editorialmanager.com>

收件人: 朱良君 <zlj@lreis.ac.cn>

时间: 2023年1月26日 (周四) 08:17

大小: 11 KB

Dear Dr. Zhu,

I can now inform you that the reviewers and editor have evaluated the manuscript "Identification of watershed priority management areas based on landscape positions: An implementation using SWAT+" (Dr. Liang-Jun Zhu). I am pleased to say that it has been favourably received and publication with minor revision is recommended (see below and on <https://www.editorialmanager.com/hydrol/>).

Please consider the reviews to see if revision would be feasible. To facilitate further review, add line numbers in the text of your manuscript. Should you wish to resubmit you should explain how and where (i.e. by giving line numbers) each point of the reviewers' comments has been incorporated. For this, use submission item "Revision Notes" when uploading your revision. Also, indicate the changes in an annotated version of the revised manuscript (submission item "Revision, changes marked"). Please follow the order "Revision Notes", "Revision, changes marked", "Manuscript", etc.

Should you disagree with any part of the reviews, please explain why.

Please strictly follow the formatting requirements as presented in the Guide for Authors. When submitting your revised manuscript, please ensure that you upload the source files (e.g. Word). Uploading a PDF file at this stage will create delays should your manuscript be finally accepted for publication. If your revised submission does not include the source files, we will contact you to request them.

Given that the requested revisions are fairly minor the new version is required within 20 days.

To submit a revision, go to <https://www.editorialmanager.com/hydrol/> and log in as an author. You will find your submission record under Submission(s) Needing Revision.

When resubmitting, please present any figures, tables etc. as separate files. See the Artwork Guidelines on the home page right menu for further file naming conventions and format issues.

I hope that you will find the comments to be of use to you and am looking forward with interest to receiving your revision.

Include interactive data visualizations in your publication and let your readers interact and engage more closely with your research. Follow the instructions here:

<https://www.elsevier.com/authors/author-services/data-visualization> to find out about available data visualization options and how to include them with your article.

Thank you for submitting your work to this journal.

Research Elements (optional)

This journal encourages you to share research objects - including your raw data, methods, protocols, software, hardware and more – which support your original research article in a Research Elements journal. Research Elements are open access, multidisciplinary, peer-reviewed journals which make the objects associated with your research more discoverable, trustworthy and promote replicability and reproducibility. As open access journals, there may be an Article Publishing Charge if your paper is accepted for publication. Find out more about the Research Elements journals at https://www.elsevier.com/authors/tools-and-resources/research-elements-journals?dgcid=ec_em_research_elements_email.

With kind regards,

Nandita Basu
Editor
Journal of Hydrology

COMMENTS FROM EDITORS AND REVIEWERS

Dear authors, Both previous referees have reviewed your revised manuscript and found that you thoroughly addressed most of their major concerns. Reviewer #3 has suggested only a few minor editorial comments that need to be addressed, however, reviewer #1 would like more justification on the novelty of the contribution and why farm/plot scale was not used. I think you can try to address these remaining concerns through your introduction and discussion sections.

Reviewer #1: The authors have done a great job in revising this paper. However, I still think the combined application of SWAT+ and the Markov chain is not new. Besides, farm or plot scale is better for PMAs and BMPs.

Reviewer #3: The authors have reasonably replied to my earlier comments and I think the paper can now be accepted with a few minor (editorial) revisions as detailed in the attachment. There is no need for me to re-review this paper again.

.....

Important note: If a reviewer has provided a review or other materials as attachments, those items will not be in this letter. Please ensure therefore that you log on to the journal site and check if any attachments have been provided.

Note: While submitting the revised manuscript, please double check the author names provided in the submission so that authorship related changes are made in the revision stage. If your manuscript is accepted, any authorship change will involve approval from co-authors and respective editor handling the submission and this may cause a significant delay in publishing your manuscript.

#AU_HYDROL#

To ensure this email reaches the intended recipient, please do not delete the above code

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. ([Remove my information/details](#)). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.