

主 题:	2022WR032986R (Editor - Jim Hall): Decision Letter	
发件人:	wrr@agu.org	2023-3-9 2:03:21
收件人:	zlj@lreis.ac.cn	
附 件:	1_reviewer_attachment_1_1676142824_convrt.pdf	

Dear Dr. Zhu:

Thank you for submitting your manuscript entitled "Optimizing the Implementation Orders of Watershed Best Management Practices with Time-varying Effectiveness under Stepwise Investment" [Paper #2022WR032986R] to Water Resources Research. I have received 1 reviews of your manuscript, which are included below and/or attached. Based on the reviews, your manuscript may be suitable for publication after minor revisions.

Whilst the revisions are now minor, it is very important that the paper is clearly written in good English. We will not be able to publish work that does not communicate adequately to our international audience.

The feedback provided in the reviewer assessments of your manuscript is important and should be taken into account as you complete your revision.

Please submit a revised manuscript that responds to or addresses the comments by April 7, 2023.

In your revision, please follow our [Checklist](#) and use our [Templates](#) for the main file and any supplements. Please provide the following:

1. A response to reviewer file that lists each major comment and describes how the manuscript has/has not been modified in response to those comments.
2. A copy of the manuscript with the changes noted (e.g., highlighted, "track changes," italics or bold changes).
3. The final revised manuscript with the changes incorporated and separate final figure files (figure parts should be combined into a single file), which will be used for publication if the manuscript is accepted. If final figures are already uploaded, they can be easily copied over to the next revision version.
4. If any, supporting information text figures, captions, and small tables in a single PDF file using AGU's template. Large data tables, and multimedia should be uploaded separately in their native format (preferably .xlsx or .csv for tables).

AGU requires that all data needed to understand, evaluate, and build upon the reported research must be available at the time of peer review and publication. Additionally, authors should make available software that has a significant impact on the research. Data, software, and other research objects (e.g. notebooks) should be made available in repositories that support preservation and reuse. An explicit Availability Statement in the Open Research section of the paper is required describing where readers can find and access the data (and software). Authors should include intext citations to data (and software) in the Open Research section and the full citation in the References section. Guidance on what the Availability Statement and Citation should include along with templates and examples can be found at [Data & Software for Authors](#).

AGU [requires](#) the corresponding author, and encourages all authors, to register for an [ORCID](#).

Please check and verify authorship, and that all authors are included, have approved the revisions, and agreed to be listed in the order given. Authorship is final with publication. Responsibilities of the corresponding author are given [here](#).

When you are ready to submit your revision, please login to your account (<https://wrr-submit.agu.org/cgi-bin/main.plex>) and click "Revise 2022WR032986R."

I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript. If you have any questions, or need additional time to complete your revisions, please contact us at wrr@agu.org.

Yours sincerely,

Jim Hall
Editor
Water Resources Research

-----IMPORTANT INFORMATION-----

Additional information on text preparation, formatting, acceptable file formats, supporting information, graphics preparation, and AGU style, is [here](#).

Sharing your work is an important part of the research process, and AGU leverages and shares published research to promote the [broader importance](#) of Earth and space science. Learn how you can [promote your paper](#), including how your paper can be considered for additional publicity or for the issue cover if it is accepted.

Associate Editor Evaluations:

Recommendation (Required): Return to author for minor revisions

Accurate Key Points: Yes

Associate Editor (Remarks to Author):

Agree with the reviewer that the authors have addressed the methodological and results related comments, and all that remains is a thorough editing of the paper such that the language, framing, and organization of sentences is clear. This editing will improve the readability of the paper and thus the overall impact of the work.

Reviewer #1 Evaluations:

Recommendation (Required): Return to author for minor revisions

Significant: The paper has some unclear or incomplete reasoning but will likely be a significant contribution with revision and clarification.

Supported: Yes

Referencing: Yes

Quality: Yes, it is well-written, logically organized, and the figures and tables are appropriate.

Data: Yes

Accurate Key Points: Please Select

Reviewer #1 (Formal Review for Authors (shown to authors)):

See attached.